

**HOPE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION  
MEETING MINTUES  
APRIL 15, 2021**

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Norton at 6:30 pm at the Hope Township Hall, 5463 S M43 Hwy, Hastings, MI. Pledge of allegiance to the flag.

**Members Present:** Junior Homister, Bob Norton, Roger Pashby, Craig Jenkins, Jim McKelvey

**Members Absent:** None

**Others Present:** Planning Consultant/Zoning Administrator Nathan Mehmed, Township Attorney Catherine Kaufman, Engineering Consultant Dan Whalen from Williams & Works, Hydrogeologist Dan Greene from Fishbeck, Attorney Kenneth Vermeulen of Honigman (on Zoom), Applicant Trevor Smith from R. Smith & Sons, Community Planner Whitney Newberry from Williams & Works, approximately 26 individuals at the Township Hall, and approximately 37 individuals logged into the Zoom virtual meeting.

**Agenda:** Motion by Norton, second by Homister, support by Pashby, to approve the agenda as presented. Roll call vote; all ayes. Motion carried.

**Meeting Minutes:** Motion by Norton, second by McKelvey, support by Pashby to approve March 18, 2020 meeting minutes. Roll call vote; all yes. Motion carried.

**Closed Session Meeting Minutes:** Homister commented that in the last paragraph of page 4, three lines from the bottom, the word "pressure" should be changed to "equipment." Norton entertained motion to approve the closed session minutes with this change. Motion by Pashby, second by Homister. Roll call vote; all yes. Motion carried.

**Attorney Kaufman Comments:**

Kaufman provided a summary of Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) COVID-19 regulations, noting there is no limit on public meetings, although social distancing must still be maintained. She also stated that virtual meetings are still permitted, allowing the Township to conduct a hybrid meeting in-person and on Zoom. She discussed the importance of the Open Meetings Act in relation to the new MDHHS mandates and stated that the meeting would have to be adjourned if public attendance was limited by the room size and members of

the public could not participate. The room size was not a limitation for in-person attendance.

Kaufman clarified the type of application submitted for the gravel mine as a request for special use approval. She noted this is not a rezoning or variance request. Rather, as a special use there are standards for review and the Planning Commission is not considering an exception to the Ordinance. She reviewed the specific requirements that must be reviewed by the Planning Commission when evaluating a gravel mine in the Zoning Enabling Act, which includes valuable natural resources, need, and very serious consequences (of which there are five parts). She stated that the Planning Commission reads all information submitted and this is a long process as the Planning Commission must deliberate.

Kaufman apologized for speaking over anyone on Zoom the previous meeting. She clarified the procedural due process she must maintain, including appropriate notice with the Open Meetings Act. She stated her role in maintaining all procedures in accordance with law. She assured there is no discussion outside of the meeting time between the Planning Commission members regarding the application or between the Planning Commission and applicant.

**General Public Comment:** Norton opened up the floor for public comment. Norton explained this is not a public hearing, but a time for general comment that can be about any topic. Norton requested that comments be limited to three minutes out of consideration for others and to allow the Planning Commission to deliberate.

In-person comments were received first at Township Hall in accordance with MDHHS regulations for in-person gatherings.

Gordon Smith, 8346 Chain O Lakes

Smith was interested in Otis Lake as part of the meeting's agenda. He read from Section 52 of the Michigan Constitution and explained that concerned citizens went to Lansing regarding Otis Lake. He presented pictures to the Planning Commission via drone of erosion and damage to Otis Lake. He stated his belief that the constitution has not been upheld by the owner's actions at this pit and those permitting its continuation. He noted frustration that the applicant was allowed to postpone planting grass because of rain. He also stated that Otis Lake was destroyed with a 5-0 vote and believed this is because the Planning Commission trusted Smith. He explained that the impacts at Otis Lake would happen at Wilkinson Lake and that he would leave the township if this application is approved.

Wendy Esselink, Wilkinson Lake

Wendy commented that she submitted pictures and videos of kids, wildlife, and the peace/tranquility of the lake. She stated that she doesn't believe this would remain if the mine was put on the lake due to its proximity. She provided a long list of animals that would be affected, including many mammals, fish, birds, frogs, turtles, and snakes, which would be affected by the mine.

The internet connection between Township Hall and the Zoom platform was lost near the end of Wendy's comment. Internet was restored before the next comment.

Shirley Heavey, Wilkinson Lake

Heavey commented on great memories at the lake. She was worried about the potential results of the mine and how these memories would be kept. She noted there would be a lot of erosion. She stated that it has taken 25-30 years to reach a point where they are getting rid of invasive weeds in the lake. Now she noted it doesn't make any sense to put in a gravel pit. She explained their property is used as a refuge from daily life and is worried about property values. She believes they shouldn't be ok with property values being returned in 30 years. She thanked the Lake Alliance Board for their work and asked consideration of the question: why does anyone buy property on the lake?

Al Heavey, Wilkinson Lake

Heavey wondered if the state of Michigan could overturn a decision by the Planning Commission to deny the request.

Kaufman explained that the applicant could appeal the Planning Commission's decision to circuit court, who could reverse it. She noted there was a recent push to remove local control over mining operations, and although not passed, this attempt could occur again in the future.

Don Sklenka, 8445 Wilkinson Lake

Don provided a handout to the Planning Commission, which he said was also emailed that morning. He stated the desire to finish where the previous meeting ended. He noted that the applicant said he could provide silica dust information within two days. He discussed silica dust impacts, including lung cancer and COPD. He brought a sample of silica dust from an unnamed mine in Michigan and wondered how it would feel to have this blown in the room.

Norton interrupted in order to ask Don to address the Planning Commission rather than Smith.

Don brought a silica dust mask and asked why this dust would be ok for residents to breathe for 30 years. He explained the mask is an OSHA requirement for aggregate mine workers and questioned whether residents would have another mask mandate. He explained an equation for determining how far this would travel, considering size and wind speed. Using an average wind speed for March, he estimated a 10-micron particle would travel 1-2 miles and a smaller particle to Township hall. He noted the maximum height of the applicant's property at 1,000 feet and some lake properties at 900 feet. Given the max mining depth of 60 feet, he explained it would still be higher than homes and put residents at risk for 30 years. He noted that many residents wouldn't be around in 30 years and wondered how this could be stopped since the land can't be re-created.

Larry Heslinga, 8202 Nadell Street

Heslinga explained firsthand experience with property value loss in Oshtemo Township. He noted that Mr. Vermeulen approached residents at the previous meeting insisting that lakeview properties wouldn't be affected. He wanted to provide additional comment since the applicant continues to say values won't be affected. Heslinga expanded on two studies often cited as proof that property values would not be impacted: one from MDOT and another from Phoenix. He noted these have been discredited. He referenced the Healthy Waters Alliance opinion that the Phoenix report is not applicable, due to the geographic situation with a valley, mountains, desert, and the closest residence 3 miles away. He also hoped the Planning Commission would consider the report submitted to Richland Township by Andy Moore. Heslinga quoted from this report, which recommended the MDOT report not be considered for the Richland Township site. He also quoted from this report regarding the Phoenix study, which indicated this is was general study. Heslinga stated that the applicant has failed to prove that property values wouldn't be impacted and urged the Planning Commission to deny the special use application.

Daryl Holroyd, 1 Oak Opening

Garry asked if anyone listened to the backup noise of loaders. He understood the desire to make money. He noted that the value of lake land would often double, but not if the pit was there. He believes nobody would want their land.

He also commented seeing bald eagles sitting in his tree eating fish. He doesn't believe this would continue to happen if the pit was there.

Charlene Wabeck, 8292 & 8305 Wilkinson Lake Drive

Charlene explained having property in the family over 50 years, which is now within 500 feet of Smith's property. She was concerned the application doesn't disclose all the details and thanked the Planning Commission for requesting a third-party study for groundwater. She noted an attorney opinion stating that adjacent well logs to the north were at 70 feet below ground, but she was confident the water is not that deep on her property. She expressed concern about impacts to their well, such as contamination and pumping rate, stating that she doesn't see any information in the application regarding contamination prevention and residential protection. If passed, she desired a healthy escrow account for remediating wells.

She also expressed concern regarding the need for gravel, stating she hadn't seen proof this would be present. She wondered if a 16-year supply equates to need. She noted an aggregate company purchased a site across Miller road in Orangeville. She disagreed with the attorney opinion that this operation wouldn't result in very serious consequences, noting a safety risk to people walking and biking because of curves and hills along the road. She was also concerned that the application did not address environmental impact and restoration cleanup. She quoted the Barry County Ordinance's requirement for this.

Charlene stated that property owners have limited actions because they're on a lake and believes miners should help protect the lake. She noted that this land was bought for the purpose of extracting sand/gravel, but that special land uses are not guaranteed. She stated that she doesn't believe the applicant has proved there is significant need and that they need to prove they can comply with all regulations if granted a permit. She referenced the Zoning Enabling Act in relation to allowing reasonable conditions, including those for protecting natural resources, compatibility with adjacent lands, and public health, safety, and welfare. She stated that this has been a lake community before the 1940s and believes permitting a gravel pit is asking people to change the community. She did not believe they would be protected as adjacent landowners, as Smith already demonstrated they don't follow rules based on Otis Lake reclamation requirements. She noted that MDOT already had grass growing on property they started developing during COVID, while Smith couldn't get grass growing within 4 years. She stated that when

vegetation was cleared, Smith and Sons claimed they didn't need barriers because they were only removing brush. She quoted from the Barry County Ordinance regarding a bluff line and water protection, believing this was a high-risk area for erosion and that something should have been done. She ended with a comment regarding her brother on Zoom, who believed silica dust should be strictly enforced due to his experience with it.

Carol Mattson, 6548 Woods Trail Drive

Carol commented that she bought property in 2006 as a united states air force veteran for its peace, quiet, and wildlife. She explained her work for the Michigan DEQ as a district secretary for remediation. He noted an early retirement because of health issues. She stated she doesn't live on the lake or use it, but respects it and the wildlife. She noted there is a delicate balance they must live with. She explained how this is a rare place to live compared to the rest of the world, as it is peaceful and caring. She explained her workplace in Kalamazoo off US-131, near which there was a gravel pit. She noted that when gravel trucks came off D-Avenue, they almost hit her and those roads were much wider than Stevens Road. She commented on how there are school buses, bicycles, motorcycles, golf carts, and people walking dogs near the lake. She explained it's a bedroom community and people come out there to be restored and enjoy the area. She respectfully asked this be denied. She commented that road quality was already not great, but deer will pop out and school buses will be affected. She stated that they love living here and paying taxes and looking out for each other. She asked the Planning Commission to think about these things and thanked them for their time. She commented on being tired of the bottom dollar, but noted love and service for the country. She stated she feeds wildlife because they take care of us and there is a balance.

The Planning Commission thanked her for her service.

Wayne Ritchie, 0.25-mile up the road

Ritchie stated that if gravel pit gets approved, he and his wife are worried about the dust. He explained their health is already bad and it will be terrible for his condition.

Jay Wabeck, Wilkinson Lake Road

Jay desired to expand on other comments during the meeting. He explained he has been visiting the lake about 40 years and living there about 10 years. He noted having pride to own property there. Although his property was in questionable shape when bought, he explained restoring it the past 10 years

and how his property improvements were done out of pride and love for the place. He explained how it's nice to sit on the shoreline and look across the lake, as it is beautiful and quiet. Now he may have a gravel pit within 400 feet of his cottage. He noted that although he has hearing aids, he knows he will get tired of trucks, noise, and dust. He also noted that other lakes and a campground that will be exposed. He explained there is a huge beaver dam and wondered how many were living at the foot of the lake where the gravel pit would be located. He also stated that his son-in-law has PTSD and loves to come to the lake for peace and quiet. His grandson also has borderline asthma, and he wondered how this would impact him. He also stated belief that is property value will go down. While he's planning to give the land to family, he doesn't know if they will want it. He commented that all property values would go down and there would be less taxes, so the Township would lose money, and it would be at least 30 years before values potentially rise if the lake wasn't contaminated.

Kathy Slagter, no address given

Kathy owns Peace of Serenity Ranch with 30 horses and noted the gravel pit would be located behind them. She commented that she conducts therapeutic coaching for people with PTSD on the property. She felt that property damage and other impacts would be wrong. She explained her love for the peace and serenity of the land right now, while it would be loud all day with the gravel pit. She commented that although this has been said, she wants to stress that this would be a bother behind her.

Chris Nichols, 8664 Chain O Lakes Drive

Chris stated that he and his wife spent a year finding a place to live and are now located directly northeast across from where the pit would be. He noted that all the noise and dust would come across the lake. While this was ok when the property was a farm, this is a problem when it occurs daily. He commented on dealing with noise for many years, stating that machinery grinds into your head and makes it angry. He explained seeing the field and tree piles. While he doesn't blame someone for opening a pit to make money, he stated that this was irritating and wondered what the Township's benefit would be.

Kaufman responded that the applicant must put into record if there are valuable natural resources, need, and very serious consequences. She explained that township benefit is not something the Planning Commission has to review; rather they have to review state law and zoning ordinance requirements.

Chris continued that he had heard the operation would be 10 hours a day with 200 trucks a day. He stated that would be a truck every 1.5 minutes. He noted these would go past the school and wondered the impact of this. He also noted that property values will drop and he wouldn't live long enough to regain that.

Sue Krueger, 8214 Nadell Drive on Wilkinson Lake

Sue shared a visual of having a gravel pit 50 feet away. She noted this would not be a field with one farmhouse, but there are houses every 50-100 feet. He commented that she believes it's one thing to drive along Miller Road, where it looks rural, but along the lakeside there are a lot of homes. She stated these are humble cottages where people work hard for what they have. She wanted the Planning Commission to see what people are referring to as their home.

Sue Bowyer, Chain O Lakes Drive

Sue noted that the gravel pit is proposed on a lake. She stated that she can hear her neighbors talking across the lake and believes the noise will be terrible. She asked what the noise ordinance is for Hope Township.

Rick Jones, Cloverdale

Rick commented that this is the wrong place for a gravel pit and they will lose the community if this is approved. He wanted the Planning Commission to do the right thing and not let this happen.

Jay Miller, 47 Woods Trail Drive

Jay commented that he and his wife were born and raised in Michigan and looked for a house all around Barry County and Hastings. He noted that it took them 2 years to buy their house on the lake, and that they both have elderly parents that love the lake and fishing. He stated that he drives trucks during the week and knows they need big roads. He stated that he doesn't believe these roads are large enough and wondered who is going to pay for roads. He stated that when putting 180,000 pounds of truck and gravel on the road, they aren't going to handle it. He commented that he plans to live here the rest of his life and asked the Planning Commission to vote no. He noted that his wife wasn't there because she's a nurse, but says the same thing.

Terri Nichols, 8664 Chain O Lakes Drive

Terri commented that she hears a lot of emotion from people. She believes that one person will make a lot of money, but a lot of people will be hurt. She asked the Planning Commission to vote no.



Norton turned attention to Zoom attendees for public comment. Newberry stated that attendees could raise hands to share public comment from Zoom.

Michael Wilczynski, Pangea Environmental LLC.

Michael stated he was not anti-mining, but a geologist from Pangea Environmental. He stated he submitted a few things to the Planning Commission regarding wetland issues, geology. He noted a recent submission regarding objectives on hydrogeology. He commented that he has done a lot of study on value, need, and very serious consequences. He has also studied salt water intrusion and appreciated Mr. Greene's response. However, now that he said there is Coldwater Shale beneath the site, Michael was more concerned. Michael commented that this is not true shale, as there is sand, limestone, and dolomite. He stated that he is currently doing some pumping tests for community wells in Coldwater Shale, which can produce 1,000 gpm with a 60-foot drawdown. He stated that Greene's state that this is an aquiclude is not true, as you can get water out of it. He noted this water is poor quality and contains arsenic, so they have to put a filter on it for groundwater. He noted that it also has iron. He stated that this is a valid concern. He also commented that glacial drift is going to impact surrounding wells and there are serious issues that need to be addressed regarding what's under the site. He also stated he's helping a lady that had a program to help special needs children, which she had to cancel when a mine went in next to it. He also explained that MDHHS is doing an environmental study due to cluster of issues around a mine in Jackson County. He noted he would probably have more information on reclamation at the next meeting. He commented that he could be reached at [pangea52@yahoo.com](mailto:pangea52@yahoo.com) or [grumpygeologist1952@gmail.com](mailto:grumpygeologist1952@gmail.com).

O'Dell Jones Lake, 6525 Woods Trail Drive

O'Dell stated he was opposed to the mine and doesn't want air or noise pollution. He wanted to be on the record for saying no to this application.

Linda, 12 Oak Openings

Linda stated that she hopes to live at 12 Oak Openings, as she just bought a cottage and loves the peace and quiet of the area. She decided to move and add on to the cottage, but the gravel pit wouldn't help them trying to raise equity in a nice neighborhood. She stated that she and her husband are against the pit. She stated that it is ruining their plans and voted no.

Daphne Myers, 655 Woods Trail Drive

Daphne expressed appreciation for all the research done by the Planning Commission considering so many areas. She mentioned the presence of trumpeter swans on the lake. She stated the DNR has been trying to re-introduce these swans because they are native to Michigan. Eggs were harvested out of Alaska and they're making a comeback in Michigan. She stated that they have been seen on Jones and Mudd Lakes. With efforts to re-introduce, she noted the gravel pit wouldn't make sense. She wanted to provide an eye-witness account of the species. She noted that while she hasn't seen salamanders in a while, they used to live out there. She commented that when one item is taken out of the environment, it has an impact and this should be kept in mind.

**Otis Lake/Osgood Mining Operation Reclamation Review.**

Mehmed provided an overview of findings at the Otis Lake Site, which was visited that afternoon. Others at the site were Supervisor Peck, two Planning Commission members (Homister and Norton), a property owner (Jeff Mansfield), and Trevor Smith and his brother. They addressed topsoil, seeding, and some slope issues. Mehmed explained that they drafted a map, provided a deadline, and the applicant is going to start immediately. Mehmed stated they want this to be seeded in the spring before dry weather occurs. He also stated that the erosion and slopes are being coordinated with EGLE. Any modified slopes would be a 1:4 ratio as outlined in the original approval.

Norton further explained there was an excessive slope on the north side that will be fixed. He noted that some areas have adequate topsoil and other areas don't. He stated that Smith and Sons knows they are responsible for putting topsoil to be adequate for plants. Once the topsoil is found to be sufficient, it will be hydroseeded immediately.

Homister appreciated public comments on Otis Lake issues. He noted those were things they looked at during the site visit. He stated that Smith is aware of these issues and dealing with both EGLE and Mehmed.

Norton asked for a timeline review. June 1st it must be seeded, graded, and have topsoil. Around July 4th, they will check the seed again to make sure it is taking hold. If no progress has occurred by August, they will pull the bond.

Mehmed stated there was nothing else to address unless there were additional questions. There were no other questions regarding this site from Planning Commission members.

**Unfinished Business: R. Smith and Sons Inc Special Exception Use Application (8409 Miller Road, PN 08-07-030-003-00):**

Norton stated they have received bus routes and road counts from the Delton Kellogg School District and the Barry County Road Commission, but are still waiting on the environmental study. Mehmed stated they reached out to five qualified firms with a scope and have two firms interested. They are working on getting cost estimates. Norton asked if they would know who is doing this by May and Whalen expected them to have someone by the next meeting.

Norton read a list of emails received regarding this application, including names and dates associated with each:

1. David Jackson email w/ letter 3-18-21
2. Gordon Smith email 3-26-21
3. Don Sklenka email 4-2-21
4. Gordon Smith email w/attachment 4-5-21
5. Health Waters Alliance email w/Pangea attachment 4-12-21
6. Don Sklenka email w/attachment re Silica Dust 4-14-21

Norton continued with deliberation of this application. Jenkins stated that deliberation without further facts would likely cause them to return to the same conclusion. Norton stated they want to deal with the application in a timely manner. Kaufman noted that it is difficult for the Planning Commission to make an informed decision without all the facts. She explained they have heard many different items from the applicant, public, and reports and without the requested third-party reports, they may not be able to get much further. She stated that the Planning Commission may ask further questions from the public, applicant, or Mehmed at this time, or since they have a lot of material, they may table the application and read these items themselves. She commented that she would like them to talk about next month's meeting location. She stated that while everyone could attend this meeting, and a virtual option is still recommended, she would like to have a larger venue for in-person gatherings.

Norton asked Mehmed meeting location options. Kaufman noted they have to stay in the Township and in a public building if possible. Mehmed stated Camp

Michawana as a possible option, but that they hadn't been contacted. Norton wondered if the Church of Cedar Creek or the school could host. The Planning Commission deliberated about Camp Michawana and Mehmed stated he could contact them. Kaufman stated that the Planning Commission could make a motion to allow Mehmed to find a new facility for next month. She explained they don't have to notice the meeting because it is not a public hearing, but could post a changed meeting location on the website. She also noted another option to hold the meeting outside. Norton entertained motion to direct Mehmed to secure an alternate location for the May 20th meeting. Motion by Homister, second by Jenkins. Roll call vote. All yes; motion carried.

Norton asked Mehmed about other items that would be helpful to discuss, aside from the requested third-party studies. Mehmed noted that bus routes are available, and MDOT has an interactive map and background information on road conditions. Mehmed stated he could answer any questions on these. Jenkins asked if Smith provided truck estimates.

Smith stated that he anticipates 250 trucks a day, during peak times. McKelvey asked whether this number represented one-way or two-way traffic. Smith stated that this number represents the total trucks, so it would be 500 total trips. McKelvey also reiterated a public question regarding Smith leasing the land for 4-5 years and buying it last year. He asked for clarification that Smith brought the application forward when still leasing it and wondered why the board hadn't already gone through this procedure? Smith stated the timing wasn't right, because they were working on the other site and there was a time lapse when they weren't operating in the township. Smith stated they submitted the application when the property was leased. McKelvey wondered why they didn't submit the application earlier. Smith stated they had other mine sites they were operating. McKelvey noted his question is related to a public comment about poor planning and he wondered why the applicant didn't try to see if they could get the application passed when leasing for \$1 before buying the land for \$800,000.

Homister stated the application still shows the property as leased and wondered if this should be fixed. Kaufman stated a letter could be sent in to correct this. Homister recommended this be corrected to show ownership. Norton explained that the Planning Commission sees that this application is incomplete and noted their role in keeping things accurate to find out what the applicant didn't know to submit or what the applicant is trying not to share.

Kaufman commented on the Blanding's turtle – a threatened or endangered species. She noted these are sometimes part of the environmental impact analysis and the level of importance increases if one of these is a threatened or endangered species. Mehmed confirmed that wildlife was included in the scope for an environmental study.

A member of the public commented that 250 trucks does not equate to trips, as each truck could make more 10 trips. Norton confirmed with Smith that 250 trucks means 250 loads. Smith confirmed this interpretation by Norton.

Mehmed noted that a comparison could be helpful between this anticipated truck traffic from Smith and Sons and their other pits. Smith commented that they have a lot of truck traffic on 44th Avenue, which is the 200-250 range. He also stated they have another site in their Sturgis location with multiple trucks coming in and out. He commented that these are two sites that see a lot of truck traffic. Pashby asked if Smith could bring exact numbers to the next meeting. Smith stated that the number presented this evening came from these sites, which are during peak times (June, July, August).

Pashby asked if Smith and Sons had another pit in Cass County on Long Lake. Smith stated that pit is another Smith mine, but not his.

Norton commented that he is eager to get more information. He explained he loves the public comment, but this adds to the load, and they are trying to wrap their minds around pile of correspondence received. Norton noted they are going to table this until the May 20th meeting. Pashby moved to table the discussion, second by Homister. Roll call vote; all yes. Motion carried.

**Zoning Administrator:** Mehmed stated that a member of the public inquired regarding tiny houses with less than the minimum square footage allowed by the Ordinance. Mehmed noted that Lincoln Township is considering creating a tiny house district and other townships have reduced minimum square footage requirements, such as to 540 square feet, to accommodate tiny homes. While a reduced size may allow more affordability, he noted these is still larger than some tiny homes. Pashby clarified the applicant's intent for a tiny home. Norton stated it was to be a permanent home. Mehmed explained these are often attractive because they are affordable and low maintenance. Homister commented that the Planning Commission should consider these. Homister and Mehmed affirmed this situation has occurred in the past. Mehmed also noted that people are also interested in having RVs on vacant parcels. This conversation began before COVID and the gravel mine,


but these questions have occurred. Mehmed explained that people could keep their RVs in the side yard of their property, but couldn't stay in it. He noted the primary concern is often wastewater. Norton asked Mehmed if he could research this and Mehmed noted he could do this.

Norton asked Mehmed about building activity. Mehmed stated the Township is busy with many new buildings, including homes, pole barns, and decks. Mehmed noted he is still working remote.

Pashby commented on the date of his Ordinance book. Mehmed stated there is a 2020 copy they will send to him. Norton noted that the Master Plan may need to be reviewed soon. Mehmed will check on when this should be updated.

**Adjournment**

Pashby moved to adjourn meeting, second by Jenkins. Roll call vote; all yes. Meeting adjourned at 8:45 pm.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Craig Jenkins, Secretary

04.23.21  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE